

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: <div>93</div> | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | <div>86.70</div> % | <div>93.02</div> % | <div>75</div> | <div>93.55</div> % | <div>13</div> | <div>9</div> | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | <div>60.99</div> % | <div>85.70</div> % | <div>92</div> | <div>86.03</div> % | <div>2</div> | <div>1</div> | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | <div>3.9</div> | <div>5.84</div> | <div>47</div> | <div>4.83</div> | <div>46</div> | <div>43</div> | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>73.48</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>81.34</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>69.70</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>70.65</div> % | <div>99</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>81.37</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>81.57</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | <div>84.62</div> % | <div>41.01</div> % | <div>5</div> | <div>45.66</div> % | <div>94</div> | <div>96</div> | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | <div>100.00</div> % | <div>96.42</div> % | <div>1</div> | <div>96.25</div> % | <div>100</div> | <div>100</div> | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | <div>2</div> / <div>93</div> | Nationally: | <div>98</div> <div>99</div> | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | <div>\$49,323</div> | <div>\$35,678</div> | | <div>\$27,146</div> | <div>39</div> Units | <div>90.70</div> % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | <div>\$56,221</div> | <div>\$21,129</div> | | <div>\$15,140</div> | <div>4</div> Units | <div>9.30</div> % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | <div>\$0</div> | <div>\$27,430</div> | | <div>\$20,860</div> | <div>0</div> Units | <div>0.00</div> % | |
| TBRA Unit | <div>\$0</div> | <div>\$2,699</div> | | <div>\$3,210</div> | <div>0</div> Units | <div>0.00</div> % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Daly City CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$235,660 | \$482,500 | \$0 |
| State:* | \$137,282 | \$115,376 | \$28,718 |
| National:** | \$97,127 | \$76,352 | \$23,684 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.23

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 66.7 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 17.9 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 64.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 35.9 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 100.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 0.0 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 6 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Daly City State: CA Group Rank: 98
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 2 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 99
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.030 | 2.87 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

